



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/822,898	04/13/2004	Timothy M. Clay	CE11979JUI	3997	
7590 11/23/2005			EXAMINER		
Larry G. Brown			ниүүн, ва		
Motorola, Inc.					
Law Departmen	it -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
8000 West Sunr	rise Boulevard	2179			
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33322			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Appl	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/8	22,898	CLAY ET AL.				
		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
		Ba H	·	2179				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu. period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months affed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In inication. utory period will apply ill, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M ne application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed  CONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on						
2a)□	· ·	b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)□	, <u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or elect	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	-						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/13/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a laim for a lai	or foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the	certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmen	` `		—	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 12-19, 22, 23, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent #5,903,634 (Wakabayashi et al).

- As for claims 1, 15, 28: Wakabayashi et al teach a method and corresponding system for conveying an image position, comprising the steps/means for displaying at least a portion of a menu image on a display 6, and modifying the portion of the menu image to convey to a user a position of the portion of the menu image in relation to the entire menu image (4:8-24).
- As for claims 2, 14, 16: The menu image includes a background (4:9) and at least one symbol (menu items 6.1-6.10) superimposed on the background and wherein the modifying steps comprises graduating the contrast of at least a portion of the background in relation to the symbols (4:13-22).
- As for claims 3, 17: The graduating includes varying at least one of the hue, brightness, and saturation of the background (4:13022, 5:4-6).

- As for claims 4, 18: Menu symbols 6.1 - 6.10 are superimposing over its background image. It is noted that Wakabayashi's modification comprises graduating the contrast of the background or the character of the symbols (2:9-12).

- As for claims 5, 19: The graduating includes varying at least one of the hue, brightness, and saturation of the background (4:13022, 5:4-6).
- As for claims 8, 22: The menu image comprises a fixed length of 10 phone numbers order by frequency of usage (fig 1). The order of the phone number may be changed, but the total of phone numbers is fixed. The menu image can be any set of data displayed in order, other than the most frequently used phone list (5:23-27).
- As for claims 9, 23: The background is a bitmap image and the symbol is a list that includes a fixed list of phone numbers.
- As for claims 12, 26: The color servers as positional indicators (4:8-24). Each phone number is further designated by a numerical order (4:45-56)
- As for claims 13, 27: Color and numerical order are superimposed over menu symbols 6.1 6.10 based on the number of the menu symbols (fig. 1, 4:25-45).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 20, 21, 24, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent #5,903,634 (Wakabayashi et al).

- As for claims 6, 20: Menu symbols 6.1 6.10 are superimposing over its background image. It is noted that Wakabayashi's modification comprises graduating the contrast of the background or the symbols (4:13-22). Wakabayashi et al is silent regarding graduating the contrast of at least a portion of both the background and the symbols. However, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the graduating the contrast, hue, brightness, or saturation of the menu symbols to convey the symbol position. The implementation would have been an obvious design variation in light of Wakabayashi et al.
- As for claims 7, 21: In light of the reasoning set forth in the rejection of claim 6, the graduating includes varying at least one of the hue, brightness, and saturation of the background (4:13-22, 5:4-6).
- As for claims 10, 11, 24, 25: The menu image is a list of phone number displayed by the order of most frequently used (2:18-24), superimposing over a bitmap of background image (fig 1). Wakabayashi et al is silent regarding scaling the image to accommodate changes to the image. However, official notice is taken that implementation of truncating least frequently used menu items is well known in the art of menu display. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known implementation of truncating the least frequently used menu to Wakabayashi's teaching of menu display based on frequency of usage. Motivation of the combining is for conserving display space and ease of

Application/Control Number: 10/822,898

Art Unit: 2179

menu selection. In light of the reasoning the menu image would be scaled to accommodate

change in the menu.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4138. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ba Huynh

**Primary Examiner** 

AU 2179

11/16/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5